[Journal of Electrochemistry](https://jelectrochem.xmu.edu.cn/journal)

[Volume 11](https://jelectrochem.xmu.edu.cn/journal/vol11) | [Issue 2](https://jelectrochem.xmu.edu.cn/journal/vol11/iss2)

2005-05-28

A Preliminary Study of Electrocrystallization of Bismuth on Glassy Carbon

Min-li YANG

Zhan-jun ZHANG

Recommended Citation

Min-li YANG, Zhan-jun ZHANG. A Preliminary Study of Electrocrystallization of Bismuth on Glassy Carbon[J]. Journal of Electrochemistry, 2005 , 11(2): 133-139. DOI: 10.61558/2993-074X.1628 Available at:<https://jelectrochem.xmu.edu.cn/journal/vol11/iss2/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Journal of Electrochemistry. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Electrochemistry by an authorized editor of Journal of Electrochemistry.

A rticle D: 1006-3471 (2005) 02-0133-07

A Preliminary Study of Electrocrystallization of Bismuth on Glassy Carbon

 $YANGM$ in-li, $ZHANG Zhan-jun$

(College of Chem istry and Chem ical Engineering, Graduate School, Chinese Academ y of S ciences, B eijing 100049*, China)*

Ab stract: The electrocrystallization of bismuth on glassy carbon electrodes (GCEs) from nitrate solutions was studied by cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry. Cyclic voltammogram s exhibit a crossover between the cathodic and anodic branches, characteristic of the formation of bismuth nuclei on GCEs, and show that the bismuth electrocrystallization on GCEs is a diffusion-controlled reaction. The current transients were analyzed with the Scharifker and the Heeman equations. W ith the increase of B i^* concentration, the non-dimensional p lots leaned to the theoretical curve for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth. The overpotential dependence of nucleation and growth mechanism was also found. W ith the increase of overpotential, the non-dimensional curve app roaches closer the lim it for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth. A quantitative analysis further shows that the nucleation rate constant (A) and the number density of active sites (N_0) exponentially grow with the increase of overpotential, and the diffusion coefficient (D) decays in an exponential mode, which was not reported before. A comparison between the kinetic parameter values obtained from the Scharifker equation and those from the Heeman equation was made, showing the very close N_0 and D values and the distinct *A* values (especially at - 300 and - 350 mV). However, under the experimental conditions of this work, both of the equations can be used for describing the electrocrystallization of bismuth on GCEs.

Key wo rds: Electrocrystallization, B ismuth, Glassy carbon electrode, Nucleation, Growth, Scharifker equation, Heerman equation

CLC Num be r: 0646 Docum ent code: A

1 Introduction

Due to its unique physical and chemical properties, bismuth has been attracting many electrochem istry researchers. Most of the studies involving electrochem istry and bismuth can be divided into five classes: (a) a promising electrochromic material^[1], (b) electrocatalytic activity of bismuth monolayer/submonolayer (underpotential deposition of bismuth) on some noble metal surfaces, as well as the effect of

bismuth adatom $s^{[2,3]}$, (c) an attractive alternative to the traditionally used mercury film electrode in electroanalysis^[4], (d) correlations between the morphologies and sizes of metallic bismuth with large magneto resistance^[5] or the moelectric efficiency^[6], and (e) overpotential deposition of bismuth onto several electrode materials^{$[7,8]$}. It should be noted that, compared with the researches of the electrocrystallization of other metals such as copper, cobalt, and silver, the study of the mechanism of bismuth electrocry stal-

Received date: 2004-12-10, Accepted: 2005-01-29, $*$ Corresponding author. Tel: (86-10) 88256331, Email: zhangzj@gscas. ac. cn Support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20343003) , and the Fund of President of the Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences(GSCAS)

lization is very insufficient, and the growth mechanism of bismuth during bulk deposition is little understood^[8,9], especially the early stages of electrocrystallization.

Electrochem ical techniques such as cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA) played a dual role: serving as the methods for metal deposition, and being used as diagnostic tools for the determ ination of reaction mechanism $s^{[7]}$. To extract kinetic parameters from the experimental CA data, the Scharifker general equation^[10] and the Heeman equa-
 $\frac{1121}{2}$ tion^[11] were widely used. Milchev and Heerman^[12] made a comment that, the Scharifker general equation underestimated the current at short times but described rigorously the long time, " Cottrell" behavior, whereas the Heerman equation p redicted correct values for the current at short times but overestimated the current in the long time region. This paper will ex-
plore the mechanism of bismuth electrocry of bismuth stallization on glassy carbon electrodes (GCEs) from nitrate solutions, and make a comparison between the Scharifker and the Heeman equations.

2 Exper im en ta l Section

2. 1 Appara tus

Electrochem ical measurements were performed with a CH I 440 electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments) , in connection with a personal computer. A GCE (3mm in diameter, CH Instruments, Austin, TX) served as the working electrode, with the Ag/ AgCl (3 mol·L⁻¹ KCl) electrode and platinum wire as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. A ll potentials were given versus the Ag/AgCl (3 mol \cdot L⁻¹ KCl) electrode.

2. 2 Chem ica ls and Reagen ts

A ll chem icals were of analytical grade. $Bi(NO₃)₃$ · 5H₂O was obtained from China Medicine (Group) Shanghai Chem ical Reagent Corporation. N itric acid (65%) was purchased from Beijing Beihua Fine Chemicals Co. Ltd. All solutions were prepared with deionized water p roduced by a M illipore system (Simp licity 185, M illipore).

2. 3 Procedures

Prior to the electrochem ical experiments, GCEs were hand-polished to a m irror-like finish with a slurry of alum ina on M icrocloth (Buehler) , then cleaned in an ultrasonic bath of deionized water for 10 m in and finally dried in a stream of nitrogen gas. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were performed at different scan rates Chronoamperometric experiments were carried out by using a cathodic pulse from 0 mV to different deposition potentials from -50 mV to -500 mV. In order to clear out the residual metal, before the nextmeasurement, a cleaning step was app lied by holding the working electrode at $+500$ mV for 2 m in.

3 Results and D iscussion

3. 1 Cyclic Voltamm etry Study

Fig. 1 shows the representative cyclic voltammogram for 20 mmol·L⁻¹ B³⁺/1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ obtained on GCEs at a scan rate of 500 mV /s Potential scan started from $+500$ mV, reversed at -500 mV and term inated at $+500$ mV. A couple of welldefined cathodic and anodic peaks and a crossover between the cathodic and anodic brancheswere observed clearly. The p resence of the crossover is diagnostic for the formation of bismuth nuclei on GCEs.

In order to determ ine the type of control lim iting the deposition process, besides the cyclic voltammogram s for different B³⁺ concentrations obtained at 50

Fig. 1 Cyclic voltammogram for bismuth electrocrystallization onto glassy carbon electrodes from 20 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ B_1^3 ⁺ /1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ at a scan rate of 500 mV/s

Fig. 2 Plots of cathodic peak currents (I_{peak}) vs. the square roots of the scan rates $(v^{1/2})$ (a, 20 mmol · L⁻¹ B_1^3 ⁺), of cathodic peak potentials (E_{peak}) vs the logarithm s of the scan rates (log v) (b, 20 mmol·L⁻¹ B_1^{3+}), and of I_{peak} vs. B_1^{3+} concentrations (c, 50) mV/s

mV /s, a group of cyclic voltammogram s for 20 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ B₁³⁺ /1 mmol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ were recorded at different scan rates: 50, 100, 200, and 500 mV /s. Fig. 2 p resents the p lot of the cathodic peak current (I_{peak}) vs the square root of scan rate $(v^{1/2})$, the p lot of the cathodic peak potential (E_{peak}) vs the logarithm of scan rate (log *v*), and the plot of I_{peak} vs $B_1^{\delta^+}$ concentration. All the three plots exhibit an excellent linear relationship, which is characteristic of a diffusion-controlled reaction. The correlation coefficients (R) are respectively 0. 997 7 for I_{peak} vs. $v^{1/2}$, 0. 998 8 for I_{peak} vs B_1^3 concentration, and 0. 995 6 for *E*peak vs. log *v*.

3. 2 Chronoam perom etry Study

Chronoamperometry is a very important diagnostic electrochem ical technique for electrocrystallization studies It is well known that Scharifker and $H\text{ ills}^{[14]}$ developed the model for the three-dimensional $(3D)$ multiple nucleation with diffusion-controlled growth.

The exp ressions for instantaneous and p rogressive nucleation with 3D growth are given by Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) , respectively.

$$
\frac{\hat{f}}{\hat{f}_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1.9542}{t/t_{\text{max}}} \{ 1 - \exp[-1.2564(t/t_{\text{max}})] \}^{2}
$$
\n(1)\n
$$
\frac{\hat{f}}{\hat{f}_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1.2254}{t/t_{\text{max}}} \{ 1 - \exp[-2.3367(t/t_{\text{max}})^{2}] \}^{2}
$$
\n(2)

where I_{max} and I_{max} are the current and time coordinates of the chronoamperometric peak. The two equations provide a convenient criterion for distinguishing between these two extreme cases of nucleation kinetics.

Fig. 3 is the non-dimensional \hat{I} / \hat{I}_{max} vs. t / \hat{I}_{max} p lots of the data for bismuth electrocrystallization onto GCEs from 1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ solutions containing 20, and 10 mmol·L^{-1} B³⁺, where the solid and dot ted lines are the theoretical transients respectively for 3D instantaneous and progressive nucleation with diffusion-controlled growth. The effect of B_1^3 concentration on nucleation and growth mechanism s can be observed from Fig. 3. With the increase of B_1^3 ⁺ concentration, the non-dimensional p lots lean to the theoretical curve for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth, suggesting high concentration is helpful for the formation of dense and uniform B i deposits. Also, the plots corresponding to 20 and 10 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ $B_1^{\beta+}$ show a potential dependence of nucleation and growth mechanism. With the increase of overpotential, the non-dimensional curve app roaches closer the lim it for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth. Such a potential dependence was also found in the electrocrystallization of copper on Au $(111)^{15}$, silver on different carbon substrates s^{16} , and bismuth on fluorine-doped tin oxide-coated conducting glass substrates $^{[17]}$.

For a further analysis of the kinetics mechanism shown by Fig. 3, a general equation should be used as

Fig. 3 Non-dimensional \hat{I} / \hat{I}_{max} vs. t / t_{max} plots of the data for bismuth electrocrystallization onto glassy carbon electrodes from 1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ solutions containing (a) 20 and (b) 10 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ B³⁺ the electrode potential was stepped from 0 mV to $) -100$, $) -100$ 150,) - 200, ∇) - 250, *) - 300, and \odot) -350 mV. The theoretical transients for instantaneous (solid line) and p rogressive (dotted line) nucleation were calculated according to the Scharifker-Hills model

the theoretical model for the estimation of typical kinetics parameters, nucleation rate constant (*A*) and number density of active sites (N_0) . Often used is the Scharifker's general equation $[10]$ i e.

$$
I_{\text{SD-DC}}(t) = \frac{zFD^{1/2}C}{1/2} \left[1 - exp(-N_0 \ kDt) \right] \quad (3)
$$

with

$$
=1-\frac{(1-\exp(-At))}{At}
$$
 (4)

where zF is the molar charge transferred during electrocrystallization, *D* is the diffusion coefficient, *C* is the bulk concentration of the electroactive species,

 t is time. The k is defined by Equation 4, of which *M* and are the atom ic weight and the density of the deposit, respectively.

$$
k = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{8 \text{ CM}}{2} \right)^{1/2} \tag{5}
$$

It should be noted that Heeman and Tarallo^[11] p roposed a modification to the Scharifker general equation:

$$
I_{\text{D-DC}}(t) = \frac{zFD^{1/2}C}{1/2} [1 - \exp(-N_0 \ kDt)] \quad (6)
$$

where

$$
= 1 - \frac{\exp(-A t)}{(A t)^{1/2}} \exp(-^{2}) d \qquad (7)
$$

Since the function $F(x) = exp(-x^2)$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\exp\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$ *d* can be efficiently approximated with the polynom ia l $^{[17]}$

$$
F(x) = \frac{0.051314213 + 0.47910725x}{1 - 1.2068142x + 1.185724x^2}
$$
(8)

Eq. (7) can be rewritten as

$$
= \frac{a \cdot 1.20681424^{1/2}t^{-1/2} + 1.1857244t - 0.0513142134^{-1/2}t^{-1/2}}{1 - 1.20681424^{1/2}t^{1/2} + 1.1857244t}
$$

\n
$$
a = 0.52089275
$$
 (9)

The nonlinear parameter estimation was done by fitting Eq. (3) and Eq. (6) to the experimental data points. A commercial software based on the LevenbergMarquardt algorithm served as the tool for the nonlinear fitting. Six group s of original experimental current transients obtained at six different deposition potentials (corresponding to Fig. 3a) were analyzed. The best-fit kinetics parameters, A , N_0 , and D , were listed in Tab. 1. Fig. 4 shows a very clear potential dependence of A , N_0 , and D . Whichever model was used, A and N_0 exponentially grew with the increase of overpotential, while *D* decayed in an exponential mode. Similar phenomenon was described by Palomar-Pardave etc. in their study of copper OPD onto $Au(111)^{[15]}$.

A lso, Fig. 4 p rovides a direct comparison between the kinetic parameter values obtained from the Scharifker equation and those from the Heeman equation. It can be observed that, the two equations resulted in the very close N_0 and D values and the distinct *A* values (especially at -300 and -350

| by using Eq. (b), Scharmer equation and Eq. (b), Treeman equation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| $-E/mV$ | | Scharifker equation | | Heeman equation | | | | | | | | |
| | $A/10^4$ s ⁻¹ | $N_0/10^7$ cm ⁻² | $D/10^{-6}$ cm ² · s ⁻¹ | $A/10^4$ s ⁻¹ | $N_0/10^7$ cm ⁻² | $D/10^{-6}$ cm ² · s ⁻¹ | | | | | | |
| 100 | 0 0 0 5 5 1 | 0.16458 | 11.06950 | 0.00414 | 0.16007 | 10 72400 | | | | | | |
| 150 | 0 0 3 1 3 0 | 0.48550 | 9.90971 | 0.01964 | 0.48060 | 9.76009 | | | | | | |
| 200 | 0 19931 | 1. 26003 | 9. 26057 | 0.09633 | 1. 26141 | 9.19914 | | | | | | |
| 250 | 0 84059 | 2 29023 | 9.01560 | 0.31255 | 2 29961 | 8 9 8 4 4 6 | | | | | | |
| 300 | 3 79127 | 4.04106 | 8.78891 | 0.68042 | 4.08380 | 8 76411 | | | | | | |
| 350 | 10.77039 | 6.46130 | 8.67057 | 1.14466 | 6.53903 | 8.64899 | | | | | | |

Tab. 1 Kinetic parameters of the bismuth OPD from 20 mmol·L⁻¹ B³⁺ /1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ onto GCEs Estimated by using Eq. (3) . Scharifker equation and Eq. (6) . Heerman equation

Tab. 2 L inear fitting parameters for the p lot of lnA vs E and the estimated N_c (critical nucleus size)

| | Section 1 | | | Section 2 | | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Models | R | Slope | N_c | | Slope | N_c |
| Scharifker Equation | 0.998 75 | 33, 869 29 | | 0.994 57 | 25, 504 55 | 0 |
| Heeman Equation | 0.99784 | 29. 122 34 | 0 | 0.993 49 | 12 980 96 | |

 mV). According to the atom istic theory of electrolytic nucleation, the critical size of the nuclei (N_c) can be estimated using Eq. (10).

$$
N_c = \frac{Kf}{z\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{-\text{d}hA}{\text{d}E} \right) \tag{10}
$$

where K is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature, z is the number of electrons transferred during the electrochemical reaction, e_0 is the elementary electric charge, and is the kinetic transfer coefficient.

W ith an attempt to judge the kinetic parameter values obtained from the two equations, lnA was p lotted as a function of $-E$ (shown in Fig. 5). It has been shown that, the $\ln A$ vs - E curve should be rep resented by a cusped line, each sector corresponding to a given number of atoms. Each p lot in Fig. 5 contains two sections of different slopes. From the slopes and using a transfer coefficient value of 0. 5 and a temperature of 298 K, N_c values were estimated by Eq. (10) (see Tab. 2). The result $N_c = 0$ means that, within the investigated potential interval, the single adsorbed bismuth atoms are strongly bounded with GCE surface and form the stable one-atom ic " clusters" that can grow irreversibly at the app lied potential. Such a phenomenon was ever reported in

the electrocrystallization of rhodium on polycrystalline $\text{gold}^{[19]}$. These results suggested that, both the Scharifker and the Heerman equations can be used for describing the electrocrystallization of bismuth on GCEs, at least under the experimental conditions of this work.

4 Conclusions

Cyclic voltammogram s for bismuth electrocrystallization on GCEs from nitrate solutions showed a couple of well-defined cathodic and anodic peaks and a crossover between the cathodic and anodic branches. The further analysis indicated that the electrocrystallization of bismuth on GCEs is a diffusion-controlled reaction.

The experimental current transients were analyzed with the Scharifker equation and the Heeman equation. With the increase of $B_1^{\beta+}$ concentration, the non-dimensional plots leaned to the theoretical curve for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth, suggesting high concentration was helpful for the formation of dense and uniform B i deposits. In the cases of 10 and 20 mmol·L¹ B³⁺, a potential dependence of nucleation and growth mechanism was also be found. W ith the increase of overpotential, the non-di-

Fig. 4 Plots of typ ical kinetics parameters in Table 1 vs. deposition potentials A , N_0 , and D denote the nucleation rate constant, the number density of active sites, and the diffusion coefficient, respectively

mensional curve app roaches closer the limit for 3D instantaneous nucleation and growth. A quantitative a nalysis further showed that A and N_0 exponentially grew with the increase of overpotential, while D decayed in an exponential mode.

The kinetic parameter values such as N_0 and *D* obtained from the Scharifker equation were very close to those from the Heeman equation, while there were differences between the two group s of *A* values

Fig. 5 Variation of the ln*A* with electrode potential for bismuth OPD onto GCEs from 20 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ $B_1^{\beta+}$ / 1 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃ the *A* values (nucleation rate) were obtained from (upper) Eq. (3) and (lower) Eq. (6) , respectively

(especially at -300 and -350 mV). Under the experimental conditions of this work, both of the equations can be used for describing the electrocrystallization of bismuth on GCEs from nitrate solutions. Itwas shown that, within the investigated potential interval, the single adsorbed bismuth atom s could be strongly bounded with GCE surface and formed the stable oneatom ic " clusters" that could grow irreversibly at the app lied potential.

Acknowledgm en ts:M. Yang thanks the supervision of Prof. Hu Zhongbo from College of Chem istry and Chemical Engineering of GSCAS. and is also grateful to Prof. B. Scharifker for the helpful advice.

- [1] Ziegler J P. Status of reversible electrodeposition electrochromic devices [J]. Solar EnergyMaterials & Solar Cells, 1999, 56: 477.
- [2] Ben Aoun S, Dursun Z, Sotomura T, et al. Effect of metal ad-layers on Au (111) electrodes on electrocatalytic reduction of oxygen in an alkaline solution [J]. Electrochemistry Communications, 2004, 6: 747.
- [3] Tamura K, Wang J X, Adzic R R, et al. Kinetics of monolayer Bi electrodeposition on Au (111) : surface Xray scattering and current transients [J]. J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108: 1 992.
- [4] Knusma J, Banks C E, Compton R G Mercury-free sono-electroanalytical detection of lead in human blood by use of bismuth-film-modified boron-doped diamond electrodes [J]. AnalBioanal Chem. , 2004, 379: 700.
- [5] Jiang S, Huang Y, Luo F, et al. Synthesis of bismuth with various morphologies by electrodeposition [J]. Inorganic Chem istry Communications, 2003, 6: 781.
- [6] LiL, Zhang Y, LiG, et al. A route to fabricate single crystalline bismuth nanowire arrays with different diameters [J]. Chem ical physics Letters, 2003, 378: 244.
- [7] Sadale SB, Patil P S Nucleation and growth of bismuth thin films onto fluorine-doped tin oxide-coated conducting glass substrates from nitrate solutions [J]. Solid state Ionics, 2004, 167: 273.
- [8] Jeffrey CA, Harrington DA, Morin S. In situ scanning tunneling m icroscopy of bismuth electrodeposition on Au (111) surfaces [J]. Surface Science, 2002, 512: L 367.
- [9] Solomun T, Kautek W. Electrodeposition of bismuth and silver phases in nanometer-sized zero-dimensional STM-formed cavities on gold (111) [J]. Electrochimica Acta, 2001, 47: 679.
- [10] Scharifker B R, Mostany J. Three-dimensional nucleation with diffusion controlled growth : Part I. Number

2 : · 931 ·

density of active sites and nucleation rates per site [J]. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1984, 177:13.

- [11] Heeman L, Tarallo A. Theory of the chronoamperometric transient for electrochem ical nucleation with dif² fusion-controlled growth [J]. J. Electroanal Chem., 1999, 470: 70.
- [12] Milchev A, Heeman L. Electrochemical nucleation and growth of nano- and m icroparticles: some theoretical and experimental aspects [J]. Electrochimica Acta, 2003, 48: 2 903.
- [13] Berzins T, Delahay P. O scillographic polarographic waves for the reversible deposition of metals on solid electrodes [J]. J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 1953, 75: 555.
- [14] Scharifker B, Hills G Theoretical and experimental studies of multiple nucleation [J]. Electrochimica Acta, 1983, 28: 879.
- [15] Palomar-Pardave M, Gonzalez I, Batina N. New insights into evaluation of kinetic parameters for potentiostatic metal deposition with underpotential and overpotential Deposition p rocesses [J]. J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104: 3 545.
- [16] Miranda-Hernandez M, Gonzalez I, Batina N. Silver electrocrystallization onto carbon electrodes with different surface morphology: active sites vs surface features [J]. J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105: 4 214.
- [17] Heeman L, Tarallo A. Electrochemical nucleation on m icroelectrodes Theory and experiment for diffusioncontrolled growth [J]. J. Electroanal Chem., 1998, 451: 101.
- [18] Budevski E, Staikov G, Lorenz W J. Eletrocrystallization nucleation and growth phenomena [J]. Electrochim ica Acta, 2000, 45: 2 559.
- [19] A rbib M, Zhang B, Lazarov V, et al. Electrochemical nucleation and growth of rhodium on gold substrates [J]. J. Electroanal Chem., 2001, 510: 67.

